

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# **Tiered Environment Review** for Activity/Project that is **Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5** Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

## <u>P</u>

| Project Information           | <u>on</u>  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Project Name:                 | FY2022-2023-48thYear-CDBG-Rebuild-Together-Dist-2+4-Tiered |
| HEROS<br>Number:              | 90000010269024   |
| Responsible Enti<br>(RE):     | ty EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901     |
| State / Local<br>Identifier:  |  |
| <b>RE Preparer:</b> Jo        | Ann Vera   |
| Certifying<br>Officer:        | Elda Rodriguez Hefner                                      |
| Grant Recipient (<br>Entity): | (if different than Responsible N/A                         |
| PHA Code:                     |  |
| Point of Contact:             |  |
| Consultant (if applicable):   |  |
| Point of Contact:             |  |
| Project<br>Location:          | El Paso, TX 79924  |
| Additional Locati             | ion Information:   |

El Paso, TX

90000010269024

FY2022-2023-48thYear-CDBG-Rebuild-Together-Dist-2+4-Tiered

District 2 and District 4

#### **Direct Comments**

to:

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

FY2022-2023 48th Year Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Rebuilding Together El Paso, 6400 Airport Rd., El Paso, TX 79925 Volunteer Rehabilitation Program - will improve the homes of 50 eligible clients to create a suitable living environment and to improve the quality of life of elderly and disabled residents within the city limits of El Paso, specifically residents of District 2 and District 4. Subrecipient will rehabilitate 25 single family homes in District 2 and 25 single family homes in District 4. The maximum amount allowed for repairs per household will be \$4,999.00. Subrecipient will perform allowable basic and necessary repairs on eligible clients' homes with the assistance of volunteers, family members of the homeowners, and, when applicable, paid licensed professionals.

### Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

District 4 Map.pdf
District 2 Map.pdf

**Approximate size of the project** more than 1 square mile

area:

**Length of time covered by this** 1 Year

review:

Maximum number of dwelling units or lots addressed by this tiered review:

50

#### **Level of Environmental Review Determination:**

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(3)

#### **Determination:**

|          | Extraordinary circumstances exist and this project may result in significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA); OR |
|----------|--|
| <b>✓</b> | There are no extraordinary circumstances which would require completion of an EA, and  |
|          | this project may remain CEST.  |
|          |  |

#### **Approval Documents:**

FY2022-2023-48thYear-CDBG-Rebuild-Together-Dist-2+4-Tiered

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

## **Funding Information**

| <b>Grant Number</b> | HUD Program            | Program Name                              |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|
|                     | Community Planning and | Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) |
| B-22-MC-48-0015     | Development (CPD)      | (Entitlement)                             |

**Estimated Total HUD Funded** 

\$200,000.00

Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a)

\$200,000.00

(5)]:

## Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

| Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6  STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD | Was compliance achieved at the broad level of review?  DERS, AND REGULATIO | Describe here compliance determinations made at the broad level and source documentation.  ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6                                   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Airport Hazards   | ☐ Yes ☑ No   |  |  |  |
| Coastal Barrier Resources Act   | ☑ Yes □ No   | No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.  |  |  |
| Flood Insurance   | ☐ Yes ☑ No   |  |  |  |
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5   |  |  |  |  |
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD   | ERS, AND REGULATIO   | NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5   |  |  |
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE Air Quality   | PERS, AND REGULATION  ☑ Yes □ No   | Based on project description consisting of "minor" rehabilitation of existing residence there are no activities that require evaluation under the Clean Air Act. |  |  |
| ·   | T  | Based on project description consisting of "minor" rehabilitation of existing residence there are no activities that require evaluation under the Clean Air      |  |  |

FY2022-2023-48thYear-CDBG-Rebuild-Together-Dist-2+4-Tiered

| Endangered Species Act  Explosive and Flammable Hazards | ☑ Yes □ No ☑ Yes □ No | The project activities will consist of minor repairs to existing owner-occupied single-family homes with no ground disturbance. The project type will have no effect on listed species.  Project activities will consist of minor repairs to existing owner-occupied single-family homes and does not meet the definition of HUD-assisted project |
|---|-----------------------|---|
|   |                       | for explosive hazards per 24 CFR Part 51.201. Therefore, the explosive hazard regulations do not apply.   |
| Farmlands Protection                                    | ☑ Yes □ No            | Proposed project does not meet definition of prime and/or unique farmlands. Minor rehabilitation project activities of existing structures are exempt from the FPPA per 7 CFR Part 658.3. See Attachment 9.   |
| Floodplain Management                                   | ☑ Yes □ No            | Project activities will consist of minor repairs/rehabilitation of existing owner-occupied single-family residences and meets the floodplain management exception at 24 CFR Part 55.12 (b)(2).  |
| Historic Preservation                                   | ☐ Yes ☑ No            |   |
| Noise Abatement and Control                             | ☐ Yes ☑ No            |   |
| Sole Source Aquifers                                    | ☑ Yes □ No            | There is no sole source aquifer in El<br>Paso, Texas. See Attachment 13.  |
| Wetlands Protection                                     | ☑ Yes □ No            | Based on project description no activities would require further evaluation under this section.   |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act                              | ☑ Yes □ No            | El Paso, Texas has no designated wild or scenic rivers. These projects are not within proximity of a NWSRS river. See Attachment 15.  |
|   | ENVIRONMENTAL J       | USTICE  |
| Environmental Justice                                   | ☑ Yes □ No            | Projects are single-family residences and no disproportionate environmental impact demonstrated   |

## **Supporting documentation**

Attachment 2 Coastal.pdf
Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf
Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf
Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf
Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf

## **Written Strategies**

The following strategies provide the policy, standard, or process to be followed in the site-specific review for each law, authority, and factor that will require completion of a site-specific review.

| 1 | Airport Hazards   |
|---|---|
|   | 1. Using resource material NEPAssist Transportation Mapper: *Measure distance from property site to proximity to civilian and/or military airport runway zone. Within 15,000' Military Airport or 2,500' Civilian Airport Runway Zone 2. Determine if project is within RPZ 3. Document project is a minor maintenance/rehabilitation of existing residence. *Activity will not increase density or number of people at site. 4. Map showing site distance from Airport Runway Zone. *If within 2,500' CAP, map or letter stating site not within designation *If within 15,000' MAP, map or letter stating site not within designation 5. Document and map all findings, upload to HEROS.  |
| 2 | Flood Insurance   |
|   | Using FEMA Map Service Center website http://msc.fema.gov 1. Property site is entered and FEMA Map downloaded and designation is documented. 2. If property is not in a flood zone, FEMA map is uploaded to HEROS ERR and documented in ERR. 3. If property is designated, further review and documentation is required. Due to project scope of work, it may be determined infeasible, removed from Rebuilding Together and forwarded to City of El Paso Rehabilitation program.   |
| 3 | Contamination and Toxic Substances  |
| 4 | 1. Perform onsite inspection using Site-Specific Field Contamination Checklist and take pictures. *Document property site be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases within 1 mile of site. 2. Using reference material NEPAssist EPA Facilities Mapper: *Review databases maintained by U.S. EPA *Map showing site distances from hazardous waste, air pollution, water dischargers, toxic releases, superfund, brownfields, RADInfo and toxic substances control act areas. 3. Collect EPA reports and document any violations. 4. Document any impact/determination/clean-up action to proposed project site. 5. Documentation, maps and reports, upload to HEROS. Historic Preservation                                 |
|   | Per Section 106 review property location, map site and take photos with scope of work; submit with a written request for consultation to THC Texas Historical Commission using eTRAC (electronic THC Review and Compliance) system to determine if property is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Should SHPO/THC conclude that subject actions will not affect property eligible for listing, then the Section 106 Review concludes, response from SHPO/THC is uploaded to HEROS ERR and copy placed in file. If structure is determined to be listed/eligible for listing in the National Register, a Determination of Effect will be filed detailing proposed activities to include plans, specs and photos of project. |
| 5 | Noise Abatement and Control   |

FY2022-2023-48thYear-CDBG-Rebuild-Together-Dist-2+4-Tiered

1. Perform onsite inspection using Site-Specific Field Contamination Checklist and take pictures. \*Document property site be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases within 1 mile of site. 2. Using reference material NEPAssist EPA Facilities Mapper: \*Review databases maintained by U.S. EPA \*Map showing site distances from hazardous waste, air pollution, water dischargers, toxic releases, superfund, brownfields, RADInfo and toxic substances control act areas. 3. Collect EPA reports and document any violations. 4. Document any impact/determination/clean-up action to proposed project site. 5. Documentation, maps and reports, upload to HEROS.

#### **Supporting documentation**

FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST\_R7-2012 (Draft).pdf Site Specific Review Rebuilding Together.pdf

**APPENDIX A: Site Specific Reviews** 

Version 11.07.2012 07/21/2022 15:11 Page 6 of 6